IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DESMOND J. DICKERSON,

No. C 08-03305 CW (PR)

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH LEAVE TO AMEND

v.

CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,

Defendants.

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Desmond J. Dickerson has filed a <u>pro se</u> civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

BACKGROUND

According to the allegations in the complaint, Plaintiff was subjected to improper force during the course of his arrest.

Plaintiff seeks monetary damages for his physical and mental injuries.

DISCUSSION

A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify cognizable claims and dismiss any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. See id.

§ 1915A(b)(1),(2). <u>Pro se</u> pleadings must, however, be liberally construed. <u>See Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't</u>, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. See West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

According to the allegations in the complaint, Officer John Mitchell from the Oakland Police Department used excessive force against Plaintiff during the course of his arrest. The use of excessive force by a law enforcement officer in effectuating an arrest states a valid claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. See Graham v. Connor, 490 U.S. 386, 394-95 (1989). Here, however, Plaintiff has failed to allege the date or location of the use of force. Plaintiff is granted leave to amend the complaint to set forth these additional facts regarding the alleged use of force.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court hereby orders as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff's complaint is DISMISSED WITH LEAVE TO AMEND. Within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order, Plaintiff may file an amended complaint to correct the deficiencies as set forth above.
- 2. Plaintiff must submit his amended complaint on the attached blank civil rights complaint form, clearly label the complaint an "Amended Complaint," and write in the case number for this action -- C 08-3305 CW (PR) -- on the form. If Plaintiff

fails to file an amended complaint within the thirty-day period, this action will be dismissed.

- 3. The Clerk of the Court shall send Plaintiff a blank civil rights form along with a copy of this Order.
- 4. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the Court informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 1/21/10



P:\PRO-SE\CW\CR.08\Dickerson3305.DWLA.wpd

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3 4 5	DESMOND J DICKERSON, Plaintiff, v.	Case Number: CV08-03305 CW CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
678	CITY OF OAKLAND et al, Defendant.	
9 10 11	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. That on January 21, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said	
12 13	copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addresse said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing receptacle located in the Clerk's office.	d to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing
141516	Desmond J. Dickerson w/CR form 711 Cherry Way	
171819		Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Sheilah Cahill, Deputy Clerk
20 21		
22 23		
2425		
2627		
28	P:\PRO-SE\CW\CR.08\Dickerson3305.DWLA.wpd	